I. Turbulence and II. Shocks

GISM 2023, Banyuls P. Lesaffre (CNRS/LPENS)



Outline part I: TURBULENCE

- Introduction
- Reynolds number
- The energy cascade and Kolmogorov (1941)
- Kolmogorov (1962)
- Intermittency
- More advanced topics (Compressible, MHD, HKM)
- Bibliography



What is turbulence ?



Some known statistical properties of 3D *incompressible homogeneous* turbulence

- Kolmogorov (1941a) : *power spectrum* $E_{\mu}(k) \sim k^{-5/3}$
- Howarth-Karman-Monin equation \rightarrow *energy transfer* function $< (\delta_{\ell} u_{\prime\prime})^{3} > = -4/5 < \varepsilon > \ell$ for ℓ in the inertial range. Known as the "4/5th law".
 - Kolmogorov (1962) : *intermittency* P(log ε) ~ Gaussian
- → lots of measurements and theories on the statistics of increments $\delta_{\ell}F = F(x + \ell) F(x)$

Andreï Nikolaïevitch Kolmogorov's Legacy



Preliminary: increments







Increments with 1-pixel lags

(Standard deviation of 1-pixel neighbours differences)

Various types of increments

- Directional increments
- Increments of vectors need to define a direction:

longitudinal

and

transverse increments





- Norm of smoothed gradients
- Convolution with a wavelet



Reynolds number

Incompressible Navier-Stokes equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0.$$

- ΔU, L typical velocity and length scales
- v viscosity

 \rightarrow Only one dimensionless number: Re= $\Delta U.L/v$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u},$$



Weak and developped turbulence



Interstellar matter & its cycle



Dimension(less) numbers in our galaxy

	HIM	WNM	CNM	Diffuse	Dense	Discs	Sun
Density $\rho [\mathrm{cm}^{-3}]$	0.004	0.6	30	200	10^{4}	10^{10}	1 g.cm^{-3}
Temperature T [K]	3.10^{5}	5000	100	50	10	300	10^{6}
Length scale L [pc]	100	50	10	3	0.1	200 AU	$5.10^{-3} { m AU}$
Velocity $U [\rm km.s^{-1}]$	10	10	10	3	0.1	0.1	1
\mathcal{M}	0.2	2	13	7	0.5	0.1	0.02
$\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{G}$	130	20	15	6	0.8	0.08	0.003
Reynolds : <i>R</i>	10^{2}	10^{5}	10^{7}	10^{7}	10^{6}	10^{9}	10^{17}
\mathcal{R}_m	10^{21}	10^{20}	10^{18}	10^{17}	10^{15}	10^{9}	10^{10}
\mathcal{R}_{AD}	10^{3}	10^{3}	10^{2}	10^{3}	10^{4}	10^{5}	10^{20}
Ionisation fraction	1	10^{-2}	10^{-4}	10^{-4}	10^{-4}	10^{-7}	1
Mass per ion [amu]	1	1	12	12	12	24	1
$N_e B_z [3.10^{18} {\rm cm}^{-2} \mu {\rm G}]$	1.2	0.9	0.09	0.1	0.2	2	3×10^{16}
$N_e [10^{18} { m cm}^{-2}]$	0.5	5	3	3	1	10^{4}	10^{28}

Values from Draine's book

The Kolmogorov cascade and the energy spectrum



The Kolmogorov cascade and the energy spectrum











K41 assumptions and Kolmogorov dissipation scale

- Steady state assumption: $\Pi = \Pi_{\ell} = \varepsilon$
- Velocity increments depend on local Reynolds Re_l
- Homogeneous and isotropic (scales below injection)
- Re_ℓ → ∞ (scales above dissipation → we are in the *"inertial range"*)

Note: Komogorov dissipation length scale @ Re_{ld}=1 Re_l = U_l ℓ/ν , with U_l = $\epsilon^{1/3} \ell^{1/3} \rightarrow \ell_d = \epsilon^{-1/4} \sqrt{3/4}$

Velocity vs. scale in our Galaxy

Falgarone et al. (2009). $\Pi = \Delta U^3 / L = 3.10^{-3} - 3.10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^3$



Compressible isothermal turbulence

- Federrath (2021), 10000³ isothermal simulation !
- $E(\rho^{1/3} u) \sim k^{-5/3}$ (Kritsuk 2007) ?



Kolmogorov scaling @ small Mach, Burgers scaling @ large Mach



The sky near the galactic center

Gravity



Supersonic Turbulence



The sky near the galactic center



Planck-Ophiuchus Far Infrared Thermal dust emission Dust emission overlayed with B field Direction estimate from polarisation

The dust emission spectrum



Armstrong (1995) "The big power law in the sky"

Velocity fluctuations in the ionised gas $E_v(k).dk = 4\pi k^2.dk.Fourier_v(k)$ $-5/3 \rightarrow -11/3$



MHD turbulence

- "Reduced" MHD, incompressible MHD, compressible MHD, isothermal MHD, ideal vs. non-ideal MHD (ex: Ambipolar Diffusion) ...
- Dynamos: no mean $B \rightarrow$ mean B
- Iroshnikov (1963) Kraichnan (1965) E_u(k)~k^{-3/2}
- Goldreich & Sridhar (1995) $E_u(k_\perp) \sim k_\perp^{-5/3}$
 - → Schekochihin (2022) "A biased review" (200 pages ...) reconciles both scalings
- Compressible MHD turbulence ?



2D turbulence

- 2D turbulence: Kraichnan (1965)
- E_u(k)~k⁻³ below injection scale (enstrophy cascade)
- E_u(k)~k^{-5/3} above, energy cascades to *larger* scales
- Application: Discs, galaxies, atmospheres





Lev Landau's objection to K41

The energy transfer rate should not be homogeneous

 Π_{ℓ} ; U_{ℓ}

Kolmogorov 1962 "Refined similarity hypotheses" log-normal model

- At given scale l turbulence depends on local Reynolds number Re_l = U_l l/v
- Assume large Re statistics are universal for rescaled velocity increments $\delta_{\ell} u/U_{\ell}$ with $U_{\ell} = \epsilon_{\ell}^{1/3} \ell^{1/3}$
- Assume scaling <σ²_{log ε}>=A+B.log(ℓ/L) and log-normal distribution of dissipation rates ε
- → $< \epsilon_{\ell}^{p} > \sim (\ell/L)^{Bp^{\wedge 2}}$ and recall $\delta u_{\ell} = U_{\ell} \cdot \delta_{\ell} v[\infty]$
- $\rightarrow < |\delta u_{\ell}|^{p} > \sim (\ell/L)^{p(1/3-Bp/9)}$

Structure functions: $S(\ell, p) = \langle |u(x+\ell) - u(x)|^p \rangle \sim \mathcal{O}^{\ell(p)}$

Example: Polaris cloud Hily-Blant et al. (2008)



Centroid Velocity *Increments*



PDFs of Velocity increments in Polaris



Intermittency measurement from Hily-Blant (2008) vs simulations Structure function exponents from observables:

 $S(\ell, p) = < |u(x+\ell) - u(x)|^p > \sim \ell^{\zeta(p)}$

Centroid velocities



Intermittency measurement from Hily-Blant (2008) vs MHD simulations

@ early times (near peak dissipation)

@ "late" times (at one turnover time)



Intermittency measurement from Hily-Blant (2008) vs MHD simulations

@ early times (near peak dissipation)



(Lesaffre+2023 in prep.)

Intermittency

Uriel Frisch



an maligasillar all f nalubicto (aparts from churne de bene illoude e Matia straffing MARAS he dwill might fight the simila strait honog nella pimadene is) A Rielota h let ויידה ני רטלו זיי לעיותי חיות no towner tes plemetimetions. 4 (marth wayashy Has + (lampan one in mip des an eles so were suche (contra - ANION A.d 1 (newforman cata figran ANTERNA PUR MAILENNE אי ורתקומי הילה אי ואו (almany anches BICANA AMERGO HIA CM He chip npy day a gub active all from chin 1-11+1-5 TURBULENCE

Uriel Frisch



Intermittency: (1) Statistics of increments (PDFs)

Large deviations are not so rare at small lags



Lag: $\ell = \ell_0 2^{-n}$

HD turbulence DNS 4096^3 by Ishihara et al. (2009)

Intermittency: (1) Statistics of increments (PDFs)

Large deviations are not so rare at small lags



HD turbulence DNS 4096^3 by Ishihara et al. (2009)
Intermittency:
(2) exponents of Structure functions
Power-law scalings of increments at small lags

Velocity structure functionsexponents:(lag l)



Wind velocity in the Modane tunnel

Lashermes+ (2007) from Modane wind XP data (Y. Gagne)





Intermittency: (3) multifractal spectrum Increments "scale as" |δ_ℓu| ~ ℓ^α when ℓ → 0 on sets with fractal dimension f(α).



Lashermes + (2007) analyse data from Modane wind tunnel

Intermittency: Various aspects are equivalent (1) Inc. PDFs ↔ (2) Structure Functions ↔ (3) Multifractals (Large deviation theory, steepest descent argument, moments generating function, ...)

- But full equivalence requires full knowledge of the intercepts of the scaling laws: each vision focuses on one aspect of intermittency.
- <u>Note:</u> none of these visions strongly constrains the shape of the dissipation structures. (Generative models as Chevillard+2010 (HD) or Durrive+2020 (MHD) reproduce PDFs but not the coherent structures).

Intermittency: a large variety of models...

- Log-Normal: Kolmogorov (1962), Obukhov (1962)
- She-Lévêque 1994 (generalised Log-Poisson)
- Arimitsu & Arimitsu (2000+)
- Multiplicative cascade and Beta-model (Frish, 1995)
- Hierarchical statistical mechanics (Ruelle 2012)
- Stochastic equations for vorticity (Zybin et al. 2007)
- Multiplicative chaos constructions (Mandelbrot 1962+, Muzy+Bacry 2002, Chevillard 2003+, Durrive+2020)

Intermittency: a variety of models...

Dissipation exponent ($\mu = 9\tau_{2/3} = 0.36$) Q ---- Q----0.00 -0.25 -0.50 τp -0.75 K41 log-normal (K62) -1.00 She+Lévêque (1994) Arimitsu² (3-param. fit) -1.25 DATA Jiang et al. (2002) 0 2 3 р $\tau_p = \zeta_{3p} - p$ $\langle \epsilon_{\ell}^{p} \rangle = \langle \epsilon \rangle^{p} \left(\frac{\ell}{\ell} \right)$

(Refined similarity hypothesis)

Generative models

Objective:

Try to generate random fields which have the known statistical properties of turbulence



"BxC" Durrive, Lesaffre, Ferrière (2020) Arbitrary spectral index & degree of intermittency, some impact of MHD equations on B,u vectors and their correlations.



(<u>Note:</u> 2D slices of 3D realisations of a scalar field which all have the same spectra)

The nature of coherent structures in isothermal MHD turbulence

Fast shock

Slow shock Rotational Discontinuity Parker sheet

Richard+22



→ Introduce coherent structures in the B x C model (Durrive+2022)

Pb: structures are specified in a more or less arbitrary fashion (though elegant and well educated ...)



Magnetic
 field lines

Bibliography Textbooks on turbulence

- Landau & Lifshitz "fluid mechanics": on the road to developped turbulence
- Tennekes & Lumley "a first course in turbulence": phenomenological view
- Frish "Turbulence": Intermittency
- Monin & Yaglom "Statistical fluid mechanics": technical but complete on statistics
- Priest "Magnetic Reconnection": very pedagogical
- Goedbled & Keppens "MHD of lab. & astro." exhaustive on MHD

Howarth-Karman-Monin equation and the 4/5th law

The only analytical result on turbulence....

• \rightarrow 4/5th law, energy transfers are towards small scales

 $< (\delta_{\ell} u_{//})^{3} > = -4/5 < \epsilon > \ell$

 for homogeneous isotropic incompressible turbulence.
 Generalisations of Howarth Karman Monin equation Banerjee & Galtier 2013 (compressible HD and MHD)

On the HKM derivation: Brachet turbulence lectures http://www.lps.ens.fr/~brachet/files/Cours_de_Turbulence.html

Turbulence summary: mainly Kolmogorov legacy

- Kolmogorov (1941a) : *power spectrum* $E_{\mu}(k) \sim k^{-5/3}$
- Howarth-Karman-Monin equation → *energy transfer* function < $(\delta_{\ell} u_{//})^3 > = -4/5 < ε > \ell$ for ℓ in the inertial range. Known as the "4/5th law".
 - Kolmogorov (1962) : *intermittency* P(log ε) ~ Gaussian
- → lots of measurements and theories on the statistics of increments $\delta_{\ell}F = F(x + \ell) F(x)$
- Importance of coherent structures
 Andreï Nikolaïevitch Kolmogorov's Legacy



Shock Waves

P. Lesaffre (cf. Les Houches 2022) <u>Thanks:</u> Antoine, Benjamin, Tram, Thibaud, Andrew



Special thanks: Jean-Pierre Chièze

Outline part II: SHOCKS

- Intro, turbulence injection problem
- Brief fluid dynamics reminder
- Waves (linear → steepening → shock waves)
- Shock waves:
 - Jump (Rankine-Hugoniot)
 - Internal structure
 - Shock types
 - Stability
 - Steady shocks and the Paris-Durham shock code
 - Shocks in more than 1D
 - Applications to observations

The matter cycle in the galaxy



Quantitative view of the galactic cycle



Turbulence injection by galactic differential rotation

NGC 628

by JWST

In the Milky Way: U rot ~ 250 km/s @ 8kpc Over 100pc, ΔU ~ 3 km/s $\rightarrow \Pi \sim 9.10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^3$

Gravitational energy injection

- Cloud cloud velocities from virial @ L=100 pc
- $2E_{kin} \sim E_{pot} \sim \rho GM/L$
- $\Delta U^2 \sim GM/L \rightarrow \Delta U \sim 6.5 \text{ km/s}$
- $\Pi = \Delta U^3 / L \sim 9.10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 / \text{s}^3$



Turbulence injection in outflows

- SFR ~ 1 Msun/yr in whole galaxy
- (volume 100pc.π.(10kpc)²; density 1 /cm³=1Msun/pc³)
- Assume 10% of stellar mass is fed back into ISM
- With velocity ~ 30 km/s (free fall at 1 AU ~ 1st core radius for 1Msun) $\rightarrow \Pi = (30 \text{ km/s})^2/(10^6.3.10^5 \text{ yr})$
 - $= 6.10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^3$



Turbulence injection in stellar winds

- L/c momentum injection rate in radiation
- ~ 1-10% absorbed \rightarrow launches winds
- Using 10% gas mass fraction and L/M=4 in solar units → momentum
- rate @ 100 pc is
- 10/100 Lsun/c for 10⁶Msun
- \rightarrow get $\Delta U/T$, and find Π as
- $\Pi = R^{1/2} (\Delta U/T)^{3/2}$
 - $= 2.10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^3$



Turbulence injection in SNe

- SNIa: 1.4 Msun / mC . 8 MeV = 1.7 10^{51} erg x 10% in kinetic energy $\rightarrow 10^{50}$ erg per SNIa.
- @100pc, ΔU~ 4 km/s
- ~1 SN(I+II)/25yr/galaxy $\rightarrow \Pi \sim 0.005 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^3$

SN1604 by Spitzer (R) HST (G) Chandra (B)



Ex: a termination shock (Zeta Oph)



Main problematics

- Shocks are ubiquitous in the interstellar medium, from the birth of stars to their death
- They convert kinetic energy into magnetic and thermal energy
- => They are excellent probes of the ISM dynamics
- They are a molecular and dust grains factory



Further open questions

- How much mass, momentum and energy is processed through interstellar shocks ?
- What is their role in the dissipation of large scale turbulent energy ?
- To what extent can we use the state-of-the-art and the upcoming tools to use shocks as probes of dynamics ?
- And probably many more questions...



Hydrodynamics reminder

Conservation of

- Mass: continuity equation
- Momentum: Euler equation
- Energy
- Magnetic fields: induction equation



Flow / Flux

- Flux: [Quantity / Time / Surface]
 - mass flux= ρu_s (with ρ : mass density)
 - radiative flux
 - pressure
- Flow: [Quantity / Time]
 - mass flow= $\int \rho \mathbf{u}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{dS}$
 - Iuminosity





Flow / Flux

- Flux: [Quantity / Time / Surface]
 - mass flux= ρu_s (with ρ : mass density)
 - radiative flux (Quantity=energy)
 - pressure (Quantity=momentum)
- Flow: [Quantity / Time]
 - mass flow= $\int \rho \mathbf{u}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{dS}$
 - Iuminosity (Quantity=energy)



dS

Conservation of Q

Time variation of Q in V + \int Flux of Q *out* of S = 0



$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial t} + \oint _{S} \rho \boldsymbol{u.dS} = 0$$



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint_V \rho dV + \oiint_S \rho \boldsymbol{u.dS} = 0$$



Green-Ostrogradski



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint_V \rho dV + \iiint_V \nabla \cdot \rho u dV = 0$$



$$\iiint_V (\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{.} \rho \boldsymbol{u}) dV = 0$$



$$\iiint_V (\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{.} \rho \boldsymbol{u}) dV = 0$$




Isothermal ideal MHD equations

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u}) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

$$\partial_t \rho u_i + \partial_j (\rho u_i u_j + p \delta_{ij}) - \boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{B} = 0$$
(1.2)

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B}) = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

with $p(\rho)$ given, for example, isothermal EoS: $p = \rho c^2$ and with the current vector $J = \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \times B$

Global form for ideal fluids:

$$\partial_t W + \nabla \mathcal{F}(W) = 0$$

where \boldsymbol{W} contains all fluid states variables: $\boldsymbol{W} \equiv (\rho, \rho \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{B})$

Non-ideal isothermal MHD equations

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u}) = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

$$\partial_t \rho u_i + \partial_j (\rho u_i u_j + p \delta_{ij} - \nu \rho S_{ij}[u]) - J \times B = 0.$$
(1.6)

$$\partial_t B - \nabla \times \left(u \times B + \frac{1}{F_{in}} (J \times B) \times B - \eta \nabla \times B \right) = 0.$$
 (1.7)

With the viscous stresses:

$$S_{ij}[u] = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i) - \frac{1}{3}\partial_k u_k \delta_{ij}$$
(1.8)

And the ion-neutral momentum exchange rate:

$$F_{in} = \rho_c \rho \left\langle \sigma v \right\rangle_{in} / (\mu_c + \mu_n) \tag{1.9}$$

Global form with a diffusive flux \mathcal{F}_d :





Waves



Planar wave definition

The fluid state variables on a planar wave depend on a single coordinate, and advance at a constant speed \boldsymbol{c}

$$W(x - ct) \tag{2.1}$$

Plane waves therefore 'carry information' at speed c



Ideal planar wave

$$\boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{x} - ct) \tag{2.1}$$

Plug that form in the global form

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{W} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{W}) = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

To obtain:

$$-c\,\partial_x \boldsymbol{W} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_x}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}} \cdot \partial_x \boldsymbol{W} = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

 $\Rightarrow \partial_x W$ is an eigenvector of $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_x}{\partial W}$, associated to the eigenvalue c.

The eigenvectors usually form an orthogonal basis (the system is said *hyperbolic* when all eigenvalues are different)

A 'feature' at a given spot can be decomposed in this basis: each component is transported at its own speed.

Ideal planar wave

$$\boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{t}) \tag{2.1}$$

Plug that form in the global form

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{W} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{W}) = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

To obtain:

$$-c\,\partial_x W + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_x}{\partial W} \partial_x W = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

 $\Rightarrow \partial_x W$ is an eigenvector of $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_x}{\partial W}$, associated to the eigenvalue c.

Galilean invariance:

The equations are invariant under Galilean transformations: $u_x \rightarrow u_x + u_0$ implies $c \rightarrow c + u_0$

Linear ideal wave

 $\partial_t W + \boldsymbol{\nabla}.\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(W) = 0$

Assume a homogeneous background W_0 with a small perturbation W_1 :

$$\boldsymbol{W} = \boldsymbol{W}_0 + \boldsymbol{W}_1 \tag{2.8}$$

And prescribe a complex form $\mathbf{W}_1 = \delta \mathbf{W} \exp(i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r} - i\omega t)$ You arrive at

$$-i\omega\delta \boldsymbol{W} + ik\frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}.\hat{\boldsymbol{k}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}.\delta \boldsymbol{W} = 0$$
(2.9)

$$-c\,\partial_x W + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_x}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}.\partial_x W = 0$$

$$\frac{\omega}{k} \longleftrightarrow c$$
$$\delta \boldsymbol{W} \longleftrightarrow \partial_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{W}$$



Ex: Barotropic hydrodynamics

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho}}$$

t

u-c (left sound wave)



u+c (right sound wave)

X

• Take a compression wave:



Left bump catches up with right trough:



Wave steepens:



• A shock is born !



- A shock is born ! It takes a time ~ ¼ L/du ~ ¼ T c/du
- A variation of c(x) can also lead to shell crossing

U

Steepening of a surface wave



Ex: Barotropic hydrodynamics

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho}}$$

t





X

Shocks in decaying 2D turbulence (Lesaffre+2020)











Shocks form back to back with a shear layer in between



Blue: compressi ve heating Green: vortical heating

Х

Shock wave Jump conditions (Rankine-Hugoniot)



Rankine Hugoniot relations

Flux conservation through a steady planar shock



Rankine Hugoniot

 Conservation of mass, momentum and magnetic flux in the steady shock frame induces relationships between pre-shock and post-shock physical conditions.

Examples:

* Compression = Mach² in an isothermal shock
 * Max temperature ~ u² expresses conversion of kinetic to thermal energy in a viscous front

For the molecular weight of the ISM:

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_x \end{bmatrix}_{pre}^{post} = 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho \ u_x \end{bmatrix}_{pre}^{post} = 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (B \times u)_y \end{bmatrix}_{pre}^{post} = 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (B \times u)_z \end{bmatrix}_{pre}^{post} = 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho u_x^2 + P + \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \end{bmatrix}_{pre}^{post} = 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho u_x u_y - \frac{B_x B_y}{4\pi} \end{bmatrix}_{pre}^{post} = 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho u_x u_z - \frac{B_x B_z}{4\pi} \end{bmatrix}_{pre}^{post} = 0$$



 $T_{\rm max} = 53 \,{\rm K} \,(u/1 \,{\rm km \ s^{-1}})^2$

Classification of MHD discontinuities

	[u _x] = 0	[u _x] non zero
[ρ] = 0	(uniform solution)	Rotational Discontinuities
[ρ] non zero	Contact Discontinuities	Shocks

Shocks are further classified according to the variation of the transverse component of B: - it changes sign in *intermediate shocks* - it grows in *fast shocks*

- it decreases in *slow shocks*

Internal shock structure and dissipation



Viscous dissipation neutral – neutral collisions

Collisions transfer momentum

- v = mean free path x thermal velocity
- Viscous pressure: $\pi = (4/3 \text{ factor if } u_x) \rho v d(u)/dx$
- Viscous spread of a shock: $\ell = v / u$

Ambipolar diffusion ions collisions on neutrals

- <u>Two-fluids</u>: separate momenta for charges & neutrals
- Angular momentum transfer through ion-neutral collisions: ion-neutral drag



<u>Ambipolar diffusion</u>: assume ion inertia is negligible
 → balance between friction and Lorentz-force
 → neutrals feel the Lorentz force JxB=Fin

Resistivity e- collisions on neutrals

- Impulsion drift acquired between 2 collisions: $E_{\tau} = m_e u$
- Charge drift current: $J = n_e u$

Resistivity:

$$\eta \sim \frac{E}{J} = \frac{m_e}{n_e \tau} = \frac{m_e v_e^{th}}{n_e \lambda_e}$$

$$\eta=234\frac{n}{n_e}\sqrt{T[K]}\mathrm{cm}^2\mathrm{s}^{-1}$$

(Balbus & Terquem 2001)



Internal shock structure

Consider dissipation to connect the two uniform states:

In the steady-state frame $\partial_t \equiv 0$, hence:

 $\partial_x [\mathcal{F}(W) + (\eta \text{ or } \nu) \text{ stress}] = 0$

 $\mathcal{F}(W) + (\eta \text{ or } \nu)\partial_x(\text{ some component of } u \text{ or } B) = \mathcal{C}$ where \mathcal{C} is the constant vector of conserved fluxes.

=> we arrive at a set of algebraic differential equations,
 which can usually be expressed as ODEs.
 => Shocks can be parametrised by their conserved fluxes

Time-dependent MHD shocks equations:

Complicated...

Two partial derivatives: time and space

Hard to solve: prone to numerical instabilities and large CPU cost (few hours for 32 chemical species)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(n_j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(n_j u_n + J_j) = R_j \text{ for } j \text{ neutral specie}$$
(1)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(n_j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(n_j u_c + J_j) = R_j \text{ for } j \text{ ionic specie}$$
(2)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_{n}u_{n}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho_{n}u_{n}^{2} + p_{n} + \pi_{n}) = F_{c \to n}$$
(3)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_{\rm c}u_{\rm c}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\rho_{\rm c}u_{\rm c}^2 + p_{\rm c} + \pi_{\rm i} + \frac{B^2}{8\pi}\right) = F_{\rm n \to c} \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma - 1} p_{n} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{n} u_{n}^{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u_{n} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p_{n} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{n} u_{n}^{2} + \pi_{n} \right) \right]$$
$$= \Lambda_{n} + Q_{i \to n} + Q_{e \to n} + u_{n} F_{c \to n} - \frac{1}{2} u_{n}^{2} M_{n}$$
(5)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma - 1} p_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{i} u_{c}^{2} + \frac{B^{2}}{8\pi} \right) \\ + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u_{c} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{i} u_{c}^{2} + \pi_{i} + \frac{B^{2}}{4\pi} \right) \right] \\ = \Lambda_{i} + Q_{n \rightarrow i} + Q_{e \rightarrow i} + u_{c} F_{n \rightarrow c} - \frac{1}{2} u_{c}^{2} M_{i} \end{aligned}$$
(6)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma - 1} p_{e} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{e} u_{c}^{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u_{c} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p_{e} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{e} u_{c}^{2} \right) \right]$$
$$= \Lambda_{e} + Q_{n \to e} + Q_{i \to e} - \frac{1}{2} u_{c}^{2} M_{e}$$
(7)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(B) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u_{c}B) = 0$$
(8)

Energy equation

Cooling

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E} + \partial_x \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} = -\Lambda$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{P}{\gamma - 1} + \sum_{\text{excited level } i} E_i + \frac{1}{2}\rho u^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi}B^2$$
$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} = u\left(\frac{\gamma P}{\gamma - 1} + \sum_i E_i + \frac{1}{2}\rho u^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi}B^2 + \pi_x\right)$$

- Note: entrance energy flux often assumed ~ $\frac{1}{2} \rho u^3$
- Access total energy radiated by computing



• ISM is dilute: $\gamma = 5/3$ is a fairly good approximation

Energy fluxes through a steady shock in the ISM



Energy fluxes through a steady shock in the ISM



Shock profiles examples and types



Adiabatic MHD



ſ

$$c_s = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(c^2 + a^2) - \sqrt{(c^2 + a^2)^2 - 4c_i^2 c^2}}$$

$$c_f = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(c^2 + a^2) + \sqrt{(c^2 + a^2)^2 - 4c_i^2 c^2}}$$

u - c, (left fast wave)

u - c_i (left Alfvén wave)

 $c = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma P}{\rho}}$

[plus equation on energy E and equation of state $E(P, \rho)$]

u (entropy wave)

u - c, (left slow wave)

u + c (right slow wave)

u + c_i (right Alfvén wave)

u + c, (right fast wave)


Two-fluids MHD



Alfvén wave in the charged fluid is much larger

For a transverse B field, $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}$, $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}$

J - c_r^{ions} (left fast wave) A U - cr^{ions} (left Alfvén wave)

> u (entropy + shear waves) u + c (neutral sound wave)

> > u + c^{ions}, (ions Alfvén wave)

ions fast wave)

Unmagnetised (J-type) shock

(time-dependent simulation at steady state, Lesaffre+2004a)

 $a < c_{f}^{ions} < u_{c}$



Stronly Magnetised (C-type) shock

(time-dependent simulation at steady state, Lesaffre+2004a)

$a < u_0 < c_f^{ions}$



Slightly Magnetised (JC-type) shock

(time-dependent simulation at steady state, Lesaffre+2004a)



A jump shock front is also present at early times of C-type

Chièze, Pineau des Forêts, Flower (1998)



POST





POST



POST





POST

Shock stability

 Check Béthune (2023), coming out soon, for a generic method to test the linear stability of some discontinuities (some shocks, shear layers, contact discontinuities, ...).



Instability of shocks Oscillatory instability (Lesaffre+2004a, Smith 2002, Chevalier&Imamura 1982)



Thermal instability in shocks (Koyama, Inutsuka 2002)

Some shocks can bring gas into a thermallly unstable state



Instability of C-type shocks Wardle (1990) instability



See Toth (1995) for simulations

Figure 2. A possible mode of instability within the shock front, viewed from the frame instantaneously comoving with the ions. The ions are subjected to the magnetic-pressure gradient and the drag force caused by elastic collisions with the streaming neutral gas (a). If the field line is perturbed, (b), ions tend to collect in pockets and the drag force there overwhelms the pressure gradient. Meanwhile, the drag force is insufficient to constrain the field in the regions where the ion density is reduced. Both of these effects cause the perturbation to grow.



Richtmyer Meshkov instability: a shock crosses a density interface





Figure 4: A sequence of PLIF images from the shock tube experiments described in Jacobs and Krivets (2005) in which a M=1.3 shock wave accelerates an air/SF6 interface.

Steady-state shocks and the Paris-Durham code

 Retrieve the code @ the URL : https://ism.obspm.fr/shock.html



Paris-Durham in a nutshell

- Born from long lived collaboration between David Flower in Durham and Guillaume Pineau des Forêts in Paris
- Paris-Durham solves all the conservation equations with DVODE solver (2-fluids, chemistry, molecular excitation: ~200 vars, few minutes)
- Many developpers have contributed, but main developper today is Benjamin Godard.
- Find it on the ISM services platform: ism.obspm.fr/shocks.html

Other branches of Paris-Durham

- Dust grain physics and collisions (V. Guillet)
- Stellar Winds (L.N. Tram)
- Disc Winds (B. Tabone)
- Irradiated and self-irradiated shocks (B. Godard, A . Lehmann)
- Interface with DUMSES: CHEMSES



Time-dependent MHD shocks equations:

Complicated...

Two partial derivatives: time and space

Hard to solve: prone to numerical instabilities and large CPU cost (few hours for 32 chemical species)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(n_j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(n_j u_n + J_j) = R_j \text{ for } j \text{ neutral specie}$$
(1)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(n_j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(n_j u_c + J_j) = R_j \text{ for } j \text{ ionic specie}$$
(2)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_{n}u_{n}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho_{n}u_{n}^{2} + p_{n} + \pi_{n}) = F_{c \to n}$$
(3)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_{\rm c}u_{\rm c}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\rho_{\rm c}u_{\rm c}^2 + p_{\rm c} + \pi_{\rm i} + \frac{B^2}{8\pi}\right) = F_{\rm n \to c} \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma - 1} p_{n} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{n} u_{n}^{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u_{n} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p_{n} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{n} u_{n}^{2} + \pi_{n} \right) \right]$$
$$= \Lambda_{n} + Q_{i \to n} + Q_{e \to n} + u_{n} F_{c \to n} - \frac{1}{2} u_{n}^{2} M_{n}$$
(5)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma - 1} p_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{i} u_{c}^{2} + \frac{B^{2}}{8\pi} \right) \\ + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u_{c} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{i} u_{c}^{2} + \pi_{i} + \frac{B^{2}}{4\pi} \right) \right] \\ = \Lambda_{i} + Q_{n \rightarrow i} + Q_{e \rightarrow i} + u_{c} F_{n \rightarrow c} - \frac{1}{2} u_{c}^{2} M_{i} \end{aligned}$$
(6)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma - 1} p_{e} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{e} u_{c}^{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u_{c} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p_{e} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{e} u_{c}^{2} \right) \right]$$
$$= \Lambda_{e} + Q_{n \to e} + Q_{i \to e} - \frac{1}{2} u_{c}^{2} M_{e}$$
(7)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(B) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u_{c}B) = 0$$
(8)

Steady-state MHD shocks equations:

Still complicated...

ONE partial derivative: time and space

Still hard to solve: prone to other numerical instabilities but on the shelf methods exist and VERY SMALL CPU cost (0.1 s for 32 species)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(n_j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(n_j u_n + J_j) = R_j \text{ for } j \text{ neutral specie}$$
(1)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(n_j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(n_j u_c + J_j) = R_j \text{ for } j \text{ ionic specie}$$
(2)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_{n}u_{n}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho_{n}u_{n}^{2} + p_{n} + \pi_{n}) = F_{c \to n}$$
(3)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_{\rm c}u_{\rm c}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\rho_{\rm c}u_{\rm c}^2 + p_{\rm c} + \pi_{\rm i} + \frac{B^2}{8\pi}\right) = F_{\rm n \to c} \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma - 1} p_{n} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{n} u_{n}^{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u_{n} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p_{n} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{n} u_{n}^{2} + \pi_{n} \right) \right]$$
$$= \Lambda_{n} + Q_{i \to n} + Q_{e \to n} + u_{n} F_{c \to n} - \frac{1}{2} u_{n}^{2} M_{n}$$
(5)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma - 1} p_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{i} u_{c}^{2} + \frac{B^{2}}{8\pi} \right) \\ + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u_{c} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{i} u_{c}^{2} + \pi_{i} + \frac{B^{2}}{4\pi} \right) \right]$$

$$= \Lambda_{i} + Q_{n \to i} + Q_{e \to i} + u_{c}F_{n \to c} - \frac{1}{2}u_{c}^{2}M_{i}$$
(6)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma - 1} p_{e} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{e} u_{c}^{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u_{c} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p_{e} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{e} u_{c}^{2} \right) \right]$$
$$= \Lambda_{e} + Q_{n \to e} + Q_{i \to e} - \frac{1}{2} u_{c}^{2} M_{e}$$
(7)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(B) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u_{c}B) = 0$$
(8)

Numerical methods for ODE

- Implicit schemes with Newton-Raphson
- DVODE
- MEBDFI
- Exponential integrators



Newton-Raphson

- dy/dt = f(y,t)
- Implicit schemes use Newton-Raphson to solve:

 $\mathbf{y}_{\text{new}} - \mathbf{y}_{\text{old}} = \Delta t \cdot \mathbf{f} (\mathbf{y}_{\text{old}} + \alpha \cdot (\mathbf{y}_{\text{new}} - \mathbf{y}_{\text{old}}), t)$

- Unconditionnaly stable (α>0.5) but needs N-R to converge... not always easy !
- Semi-implicit (linear approximation ($\mathbf{f} \rightarrow d\mathbf{f}/d\mathbf{y}$.) for α =1) fast but inaccurate
- DVODE is a higher order version of this method
- MEBDFI is similar, but handles algebraic equations, too

Other branches of Paris-Durham

- Dust grain physics and collisions (V. Guillet)
- Stellar Winds (L.N. Tram)
- Disc Winds (B. Tabone)
- Irradiated and self-irradiated shocks (B. Godard, A . Lehmann)
- Interface with DUMSES: CHEMSES



Heating/Cooling processes

- Ion-neutral & Viscous friction
- Atomic line cooling: C+, C, O, S, N, Si, Fe, O+, S+, N+, Si+, Fe+, Lyman α
- Molecular cooling (H2, H₂O, CO, OH, & isotopes)
- Cosmic ray ionisation heating
- Photo-electric heating on grains
- Grains thermal collisional coupling
- Chemical reactions heating



Chemistry

- Versatile networks (mainly 32/150 or 130/1300 species/reactions)
- 2 & 3-body reactions (charge exchange, dissociation, radiative recombination, endo/exo thermic reactions, deuteration..)
- Photo-reactions (dissociation, ionisation)
- Cosmic ray induced reactions (direct, and secondary)
- Grain surface catalysed reactions (essentially H2,HD formation)
- Adsorption, desorption (drift+thermal), mantle sputtering, core erosion, photo-desorption

Line emission

- Time-dependent excitation of H₂ => H₂ excitation diagrams directly ready in output
- Post-processed LVG radiative transfer in several molecular ladders (CO, SiO, H₂O) [Gusdorf, Godard]

Essential to interpret observations.

- On the fly transfer (Flower & Pineau des forêts, CO, SiO, H₂O, OH, and methanol)
- Optically thin line shape modelling (Tram+2018)

Irradiated shocks shocks ↔ PDR

Credits: B.Godard



Godard+2019

Self-Irradiated shocks

Credits: B.Godard



Lehman+2020,2022

Grain physics in magnetised shocks



- Shattering and coagulation: bin by bin population of sizes, charges (+,-,0) (V.Guillet 2010)
 - Even neutral grains are coupled to magnetic fields
 - Small grains influence ion-neutral coupling : strong interplay shattering ↔ coupling ↔ thermal structures
- Rotation and disruption (Thiem Hoang & Tram 2018, submitted)

Shocks in more than 1D



Quasi-steady shocks in 3D (Richard et al. 2022)



FIG. V.9 Structures à forte dissipation extraites d'une simulation aux conditions initiales OT à $\mathcal{P}_{\rm m} = 1$. Le pas de temps de cette sortie est $t \simeq 1/3t_{\rm turnover}$.

Prospects

Intermittent statistics of the dissipation





Dissipation strength => Molecules Formation + excitation Molecular yields from Shocks (for example)



1D simulations

3D simulations Richard et al. (2022) (also Momferratos et al. 2013)

CO map (Lesaffre + 2020) <u>Validation with 2D simulations</u>



Modeling 3D bow shocks Previous work

H₂ emission models Slice models Map models

Kristensen et al. (2007)

Gustafsson et al. (2010)

Orion molecular cloud - OMC1



We assume the 3D bow shock is a collection of 1D planar shocks

This amounts to neglect:

sideways gradients and friction, curvature radius, geometrical dilution

<u>New</u> : shock age, arbitrary shape, excitation diagrams and line profiles, G₀>0





We assume the 3D bow shock is a collection of 1D planar shocks







Models with the Paris-Durham¹ Shock code

Application to a protostellar jet H₂ emission in BHR71 Gusdorf et al. (2018)

Tram et al. (2018)


H₂ emission in BHR71

Tram et al. (2018)



Parameter	Value
n _H	$10^4 {\rm cm}^{-3}$
Age	10 ³ yr
Δu_{\perp}	$21-23 \mathrm{km s^{-1}}$
b_0	1.5

Gusdorf et al. (2015)



H₂ emission in BHR71

Tram et al. (2018)



Parameter	Value
n _H	$10^4 {\rm cm}^{-3}$
Age	10 ³ yr
Δu_{\perp}	$21-23 \mathrm{km s^{-1}}$
b_0	1.5
ψ	$-50^{\circ} \pm 20^{\circ}$
u_0 and β	NA

Gusdorf et al. (2015)



H₂ line shapes in HH54

Tram et al. (2018) Line computation for a full bow shock

 $n_H = 10^4 \, cm^{-3}$, age = 10^2 years, $i = 60^{\circ}$



Caveats:

- CRIRES vs VISIR: calibration ?

- Slit measurement vs. full bow line shape
- Slit position is not the same for the two instruments.
 Nevertheless: 0-0S(4) probes ambient speed (C-shocks) the other two lines probe material at jet speed (J-shocks)
 => Genuine shift Between lines ?

HH54 Slit measurements by Santangelo et al. (2015)



Resulting H2 excitation diagram



(see Tram's PhD thesis 2018)

Less changes at higher velocities: threshold effect and low-velocities domination => will improve future interpretation of shock observations.

Summary

- Compressive linear waves steepen and form shocks
- => they are very common
- Energy dissipation in shocks is mediated by viscous, resistive or ion-neutral friction
- Shocks convert irreversibly ordered energy into disordered energy (thermal → internal → radiation)
- We observe this radiation and can probe the dynamics which generated the dissipation

Thanks for your attention !



HST Image of wind blown bubble N44F

Bow shocks in the sink



Figure 18: Intersection of two shallow water flows originating from two taps (viewed from above, '+' and 'x' signs mark the positions where both taps hit the experimental plane). The dashed line marks the position of the hydraulic jump as computed from ram pressure balance. The dimensionless parameter $\alpha = (D_1/D_2)^{3/4}$ where D_1 and D_2 are the flows at both taps can be measured from adjusting the theoretical curve by eye ($\alpha = 5 \pm 1$) or by measuring the flows at the two taps ($\alpha = 7 \pm 1.6$ for $D_1 = 200$ mL.s⁻¹ and $D_2 = 15$ mL.s⁻¹, assuming a 30% relative uncertainty while measuring the flows' ratio). Experiment conducted with Merwan Ouldelhkim during his internship at ENS in may 2017.

Shocks are everywhere



R. Doisneau ("Caniveau en crue")

Flow around an obstacle

Shocks: bullet





Shocks: cannon



Supersonic car





Shocks: supersonic plane



Shocks: runaway stars





Shocks: runaway stars





Shock: Galaxy cluster collisions

Shock: Galaxy cluster collisions

Wakes usually are waves, not shocks



Atmospheric re-entry



Credits: A. Reagan, Vermont university

Atmospheric re-entry the heating problem

Energy conservation at the shock surface:

For the molecular weight of the ISM:

$$T_{\rm max} = 53\,{\rm K}\,(u/1\,{\rm km~s^{-1}})^2$$





Melting temperature of steel: ~1700 K

"At such speeds, probably even in the thinnest of air, the surface would be heated beyond the temperature endurable by any known material. This problem of the temperature barrier is much more formidable than the problem of the sonic Barrier." *Theodore von Kármán*, 'history of aeronautics', 1954

Atmospheric re-entry: the search for the perfect shape



Atmospheric re-entry



Credits: German Aerospace Center





Atmospheric re-entry: imprint on meteorites



Betelgeuse



HH 34 close-up



BZ Cam



Mira







Vela X





PSR J0437-4715





RR Hydrae



"Bow Shock" Around Star R Hydrae NASA / JPL-Caltech / T. Ueta (University of Denver) Spitzer Space Telescope • MIPS sig06-029

Zeta Oph





Wise 33155



Mach 6 turbulence simulation

